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**ABSTRACT**

**Introduction:** Match outcome has been linked to running performance in many sports; however, the association has not yet been examined in Gaelic football. **Methods:** GPS technologies (4-Hz, VX Sport, Lower Hutt, New Zealand) were used with 5 elite Gaelic football teams over a period of 5 years (2012–2016), amounting to 95 matches. In total, 780 full match data sets were collected. Game movements were divided into total distance and high-speed distance (≥17 km h\(^{-1}\)). Match outcomes were divided into big losses (≥6 points), small losses (≤5 points), draws, small wins (≤5 points) and big wins (≥6 points). **Results:** Team \((P \leq 0.001)\), playing position \((P \leq 0.001)\) and match outcome \((P \leq 0.034)\) had a significant effect on total and high-speed distance. In the first \((P = 0.008)\) and second \((P = 0.002)\) quarters of games players ran significantly less high-speed distance in big losses in comparison to draws. In the fourth quarter, players ran significantly less high-speed distance in big losses than in draws \((P = 0.001)\), small wins \((P = 0.044)\) and big wins \((P = 0.011)\). **Conclusion:** The current study confirms that running performance is a contributing factor to match outcome in Gaelic football. Coaches should be aware how contextual factors can influence running performance.

**Introduction**

Gaelic football is a field sport native to Ireland. Although an amateur sport, training regimens are similar to professional sports (Beasley 2015). As a consequence of its amateur status, research in the area is quite limited. Gaelic football involves intermittent high-intensity actions, which are influenced by the flow of competitive match-play (Collins et al. 2013). Matches are played between two teams of 15 players over two periods of 35 min (Reilly and Collins 2008). The Gaelic football season consists of two major competitions, which are played over an 8-month period; the national football league and the All-Ireland football championship (Mangan and Collins 2016).

Gaelic football teams play with five positional lines, in addition to a goalkeeper; full back, half back, midfield, half forward and full forward (Malone et al. 2016a). Player role and actual on field position is typically determined by tactics and formation (Carling et al. 2008). Whilst not examined in this sport, research in soccer (Bradley et al. 2011) has observed that different formations will result in different running profiles. Similarly, ball possession has been found to influence the amount of high-speed running that soccer teams will complete (Bradley et al. 2011, 2013). With this in mind, team differences may exist with relation to running performance in Gaelic football. Player role and position have an influence on the physical demands of players in Gaelic football matches (Collins et al. 2013). The middle three positions (half back, midfield, half forward) cover the highest amount of distance and undertake a greater volume of high-speed running than the other two outfield positions (Malone et al. 2016b). During a match, an elite Gaelic football player will typically cover between 8160 m and 9222 m, with 1731 ± 659 m being at a high speed (≥17 km h\(^{-1}\)) (Malone et al. 2016a, 2016b). Considering Gaelic football matches last only 70 min, relative distances covered in games are similar to that of professional sports such as Australian football (Coutts et al. 2015) and soccer (Barnes et al. 2014).

High-speed running distance and sprint distance have been found to drop in the second half of Gaelic football matches (Malone et al. 2016b). A large percentage decrease in total running performance has been observed between halves for midfielders (11%), half backs (10.3%) and half forwards (8.2%). However, no significant drop off in running performance has been observed for full backs (0.2%) or full forwards (2.5%) (Malone et al. 2016b). While a drop off in physical performance in the second half of matches could be related to fatigue, it may also be related to the match status (Bradley and Noakes 2013). Soccer players have been observed to cover greater distances at high speed when they are losing than when they are drawing or winning (Lago et al. 2010; Castellano et al. 2011). It’s hypothesised that in a losing situation, players increase their work rate in order to draw or win the match (Castellano et al. 2011). A similar trend is evident in Australian football (Sullivan et al. 2014) where players are observed to run 4 m.min\(^{-1}\) less at high speed when they are winning than when they are losing. Findings relating to running performance and match outcome in rugby league are mixed. One study observed an increase in high-speed running in wins (Gabbett 2013) while another study (Kempton and Coutts...
observed a reduction in high-speed running in wins. Both studies highlight opposition quality as a factor that influences running performance and may explain the difference between the two observations (Gabbett 2013; Kempton and Coutts 2015). The score line effect on work rate may also affect players differently, based on which position they play (Redwood-Brown et al. 2012). Therefore, team differences may influence running performance in Gaelic football, while match outcome may be influenced by running performance.

It is currently unknown whether there is any association between running performance and match outcome in Gaelic football. While global positioning systems (GPS) are useful for monitoring players’ external loads, it is unclear whether they can be utilised to provide a link between running metrics and match outcome in Gaelic football. Therefore this paper will examine the influence of total distance (m) and high-speed distance (≥17 km h⁻¹; m) on match outcome in elite Gaelic football. Further to this high-speed distance (≥17 km h⁻¹; m) per quarter will be examined with respect to match outcome. A comparative analysis will also be conducted for team and playing position across all variables. It was hypothesised that match outcome, playing position and team will all have an influence on running performance in Gaelic football. Given the anecdotal evidence that exists, we expected that running performance would be greater in winning matches than in losing matches. We anticipated that half backs, half forwards and midfielders would run greater total distances and high-speed distances than full backs and full forwards.

Methods

Data collection

GPS were used to monitor player movements in adult male Gaelic footballers over a period of 5 years (2012–2016). Match data were gathered from 5 elite Gaelic football teams. Participants were selected on the basis that they were chosen to be a part of their respective county squad in that given year and as a result, were seen as the best players in their respective county. The ELO ratings formula for Gaelic football was used to classify the level of quality of each of the teams (Mangan and Collins 2016). The system considers previous results, score margins and opposition quality when determining a team’s rating points. As a result, teams can be graded according to their number of points. At the time of data collection, all teams were either classified as Tier 1 teams (≥1728 ELO points) or Tier 2 teams (1511–1727 ELO points). No data were collected for Tier 3 or 4 teams. Opposition ranking was not considered for this study. In incidences where a player did not complete the entire match, their results were excluded from analysis. A total of 780 full-match samples, collected from 95 matches, were used for final analysis. Data were collected from the early rounds of the national football league to the later rounds of the All-Ireland football championship. The GPS devices used in the study sample at 4 Hz (VX Sport, Lower Hutt, New Zealand). The validity and reliability of the selected GPS device has previously been established (Buchheit et al. 2014; Malone et al. 2014). Before going onto the pitch, each player was equipped with a harness for the GPS device. The device was placed within the protective harness between the shoulder blades. Each device was turned on and activated in order to establish a satellite lock for at least 15 min before the start of each match (Maddison and Ni Mhurchu 2009).

Match data were extracted from the GPS devices post game using the VXSport software (VXSport View; Firmware 4.01.2.0). Match files were trimmed to ensure that only the time a player spent on the pitch was recorded. All match data was divided into 4 quarters, each lasting 17.5 min. Once extracted, the data was then exported into a custom spreadsheet (Excel, Microsoft, Redmond, USA). Total distance (m), high-speed distance (≥17 km h⁻¹; m) and minutes spent on the pitch were noted for all players. Total distance was selected as it is a standard measure used in team sports while high-speed distance has previously been reported in Gaelic football (Collins and Doran 2015; Malone et al. 2016a, 2016b). The coefficient of variation (CV) for the selected GPS devices was less than 5% for total distance, however, high-speed distance had a CV of 8 ± 2.5% (95% CI) (Malone et al. 2014). Match results were obtained from official match reports. Informed consent and institutional ethical approval were obtained before the commencement of the investigation.

Data analysis

All running performance data was anonymised before analysis as per institution guidelines. Teams were given a numbered code (1–5) while players were given a positional code (1–15). Playing positions were divided into 5 categories; full back (n = 189), half back (n = 191), midfield (n = 108), half forward (n = 166) and full forward (n = 126). Fulltime scores were noted for each match. In order to calculate the average winning margin, match results (n = 1194) for the previous 7 years in the national football league and the All-Ireland football championship were analysed. In matches that resulted in a win for either team, the average score difference was found to be 6.35 ± 5.54 points. Using 6 points as the average point difference, wins and losses were split into big (≥6 points) and small (≤5 points). Score margins have been previously found to influence running performance (Gabbett 2013). Match outcome was categorised into 5 groups; big loss (≥6 points: n = 112), small loss (≤5 points: n = 172), draw (n = 67), small win (<5 points: n = 235) and big win (≥6 points: n = 194).

Statistical analysis

Prior to the commencement of statistical analysis, assumptions of normality were assessed. Levene’s Test of Equality of Error Variances was failed for both total distance and high-speed distance. Likewise, the data for high-speed distance per quarter failed Box’s Test of Equality of Covariance Matrices. This variance could be explained by the fact that positional differences for running performance exist within Gaelic football (Malone et al. 2016b). Statistical analysis was carried out in SPSS for Mac (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences data analysis software V16.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA.). Data are presented as means, standard deviation and 95% Confidence Intervals (CI). Statistical significance was accepted.
**Results**

There was a statistically significant interaction between team and match outcome on total distance (Table 1). There was no interaction between position and team, with the high-speed distance covered across quarters (1 to 4). Simple main effects were calculated across positions. Tukey post hoc analyses were used to determine differences within group (Cohen 1988) Effect size benchmarks as a measure of effect size (Cohen 1969) were used to divide effects into small (0.099–0.1378), medium (0.1378–1.17), and large (>1.17) (Richardson 2011).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Team</th>
<th>Big loss (n = 112)</th>
<th>Small loss (n = 172)</th>
<th>Draw (n = 67)</th>
<th>Small win (n = 172)</th>
<th>Big win (n = 194)</th>
<th>Result average (780)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7396 ± 1535 (7035–8298)</td>
<td>8299 ± 1622 (7831–8645)</td>
<td>8526 ± 1580 (7386–7564)</td>
<td>8676 ± 1576 (8098–9040)</td>
<td>8265 ± 1472 (7963–8709)</td>
<td>87 ± 1583 (8038–8515)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7564 ± 1348 (7061–8721)</td>
<td>7852 ± 1489 (7010–8902)</td>
<td>7919 ± 1376 (7466–9011)</td>
<td>7889 ± 1194 (7567–8491)</td>
<td>7137 ± 1227 (6562–7835)</td>
<td>7656 ± 1274 (7315–7994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8696 ± 1838 (7751–9224)</td>
<td>9472 ± 1489 (7818–8852)</td>
<td>8756 ± 1443 (7993–9022)</td>
<td>8167 ± 1482 (7947–8689)</td>
<td>8406 ± 1313 (7640–8774)</td>
<td>8348 ± 1492 (8049–9647)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8285 ± 2572 (7922–9163)</td>
<td>9517 ± 2050 (9280–10304)</td>
<td>11,468 ± 2274 (11,107–12,180)</td>
<td>9737 ± 1938 (9518–10304)</td>
<td>9742 ± 2482 (9574–10396)</td>
<td>9745 ± 2,345 (9512–9978)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** Team & match outcome interaction with total distance (m).

| Team average | 8113 ± 1865 (7757–8469) | 8689 ± 1776 (8401–8976) | 9471 ± 2595 (9011–9931) | 8702 ± 1790 (8456–8947) | 8491 ± 1952 (8221–8762) | 8628 ± 1942 (8492–8765) |

Values are means ± SD (95% confidence intervals). Significant difference set at 0.05.

Within teams:
* significantly different from team 1 (P < 0.001).
** significantly different from team 2 (P < 0.023).
*** significantly different from team 3 (P < 0.001).
** significantly different from team 4 (P < 0.004).

**Table 1.** Team & match outcome interaction with total distance (m).
distance (1894 ± 623 m [95% CI: 1789–1999 m]), followed by half forwards (1880 ± 584 m [95% CI: 1796–1965 m]), half backs (1724 ± 583 m [95% CI: 1645–1802 m]), full forwards (1251 ± 527 m [95% CI: 1154–1348 m]) and last full backs (1204 ± 470 m [95% CI: 1124–1283 m]). There was no interaction between position and match outcome on high-speed distance (P = 0.561, partial η² = 0.021).

Quarter difference for high-speed distance

A small effect was evident between quarters for high-speed distance (P ≤ 0.001, partial η² = 0.049). It was evident that high-speed distance was reduced in quarters two, three and four in comparison to the first quarter (P ≤ 0.001). High-speed distance in the fourth quarter was significantly lower than in all other quarters (P ≤ 0.001). Position had a small effect on high-speed distance per quarter (P ≤ 0.001, partial η² = 0.014). Small- to-medium decreases in high-speed distance across quarters were observed for half backs (P ≤ 0.001, partial η² = 0.101), midfielders (P ≤ 0.001, partial η² = 0.097), half forwards (P ≤ 0.001, partial η² = 0.261) and full forwards (P ≤ 0.001, partial η² = 0.131) and full forwards (P ≤ 0.001, partial η² = 0.052). There were significant large effects between positions for Quarter 1 (P ≤ 0.001, partial η² = 0.232), Quarter 2 (P ≤ 0.001, partial η² = 0.178), Quarter 3 (P ≤ 0.001, partial η² = 0.175) and Quarter 4 (P ≤ 0.001, partial η² = 0.133). Half backs, midfielders and half forwards ran significantly greater high-speed distances than full backs and full forwards in each of the four quarters (P ≤ 0.001).

There was a statistically significant interaction between team and match outcome on high-speed distance run per quarter, yet the effect size was small (P ≤ 0.001, partial η² = 0.041). Large effects were observed for match outcome in Quarter 1 (P ≤ 0.001, partial η² = 0.822) and Quarter 4 (P ≤ 0.001, partial η² = 0.811) while a small effect was observed in Quarter 2 (P = 0.005, partial η² = 0.019). No effect was observed between match outcomes for high-speed distance in Quarter 3 (P = 0.307, partial η² = 0.006). Post hoc analysis revealed that in Quarter 1 players covered a considerably lower distance at high-speed in big losses in comparison to draws (P = 0.008). In Quarter 2, a similar difference was noted between big losses and draws (P = 0.002) and between big wins and draws (P = 0.024). In Quarter 4, players ran significantly less in big losses than in draws (P = 0.001), small wins (P = 0.044) and big wins (P = 0.011).

Medium to large effects were evident between teams for all quarters – Quarter 1 (P ≤ 0.001, partial η² = 0.126), Quarter 2 (P ≤ 0.001, partial η² = 0.178), Quarter 3 (P ≤ 0.001, partial η² = 0.128) and Quarter 4 (P ≤ 0.001, partial η² = 0.123). Team 5 covered greater distance at high-speed than all other teams in each of the four quarters (P ≤ 0.001). On the other end of the scale, team 2 covered less distance at high-speed than all teams in Quarter 1 (P ≤ 0.001), Quarter 2 (P ≤ 0.045) and Quarter 3 (P ≤ 0.003). Additionally, in Quarter 4, team 2 was observed to cover significantly less distance at high speed than teams 1 (P = 0.015), 4 (P = 0.005) and 5 (P ≤ 0.001).

Discussion

The current investigation is the most comprehensive study to date relating to match running demands in elite Gaelic football. This study is the first to investigate the relationship between running performance and match outcome in Gaelic football. The main finding is that team, match outcome and playing position all have small to large effects on total distance and high-speed distance covered by elite Gaelic football players. Players were observed to cover greater distances in games that ended in draws or narrow score margins. During the fourth quarter of matches, teams who lost by a big margin (≥6 points) ran significantly less high-speed distance than teams that had drawn or won. Likewise, in the first quarter players covered a lower volume of high-speed distance in big losses than in other results, suggesting a high work-rate in the first quarter is essential. In addition, there appears to be large team specific differences, with teams 2 and 5 significantly different to all other teams for high-speed distance.

The figures for total distance are in line with previous research in elite Gaelic football (Malone et al. 2016a, 2016b).
Our data show that there is an association between running performance and match outcome in Gaelic football matches. It remains to be seen whether the distance covered influences the score line or vice versa. The relationship between both is most likely mutual. With the highest distances being covered in drawn games it may indicate the closer a game is, the more a player will run. Caution must be used however as the sample size of drawn games was much lower than other outcomes. An examination of big and small wins and losses suggests that the previous statement holds merit, regardless of the sample size of drawn games. On average, players covered a greater distance in winning matches than in losing matches, a trend observed previously in rugby league (Gabbett 2013). However, in Australian football (Sullivan et al. 2014) and soccer (Lago et al. 2010; Castellano et al. 2011), players are observed to run less in winning matches than in losing matches, contradicting our findings. The lack of agreement in the relationship between running performance and match outcome in these sports results could be explained by the different game structures in Australian football, rugby league and soccer (Varley et al. 2014). We observed no considerable difference between teams for big losses, with teams running a similar amount of distance. This suggests that there could be a minimum work rate that teams need to reach in order to avoid a big loss. Unlike in soccer (Andrezewski et al. 2016), an interaction between position and match outcome on running distance was not evident.

Differences for total distance (Table 1) and high-speed distance (Table 2) were observed across the individual teams analysed in this study. These findings suggest that style of play or team quality can influence running distance during Gaelic football match play. The difference between teams is most pronounced when comparing teams 2 and 5. Team 2 covered a significantly lower distance at high speed than any other team ($P < 0.001$) while team 5 covered a significantly greater distance at high speed than any other team ($P < 0.001$). No major differences in rating points existed between both teams in any of the seasons examined, suggesting that style of play may cause the difference in running performance. Future research should examine the relationship between team style and physical performance.

Previous research has shown that players will run considerably less in quarters two, three and four ($P > 0.001$) than they will in the first quarter, regardless of result (Malone et al. 2017). It is evident from our findings that in each of the four quarters, players will run less distance at high speed in big losses than in matches with a different outcome. A substantial difference between big losses and draws is evident even in the first quarter (Figure 3). This suggests that a poor work rate in the first quarter may be detrimental to a team’s chances of being competitive in a
match. In the second quarter, players run less in big losses and big wins than in draws. The difference between big wins and draws suggests that pacing may already be a factor in the second quarter. It’s been previously suggested that player’s subconscious decisions influence their movement within a match in attempt to save energy (Coutts et al. 2010). In the final quarter, players are observed to run significantly less distance at high speed in big losses in comparison to draws and wins. It raises the question whether teams perform less high-speed actions when they are defending as opposed to attacking, as is the case in soccer (Bradley et al. 2013). The difference between match outcomes in the fourth quarter could have a number of explanations. The first being that in big losses, the team’s perception of effort exceeds the potential reward (of winning) and motivation is reduced (Paul et al. 2015). Another possible explanation is that certain players/teams are conditioned better than others.

Caution must be used when comparing the results of this study to other Gaelic football teams since anecdotal evidence suggests that different sampling rates of GPS devices can influence results. To calculate the high-speed distance, distance run was broken into different speed zones. A limitation of this method is that the speed zones were not individualised for each player. An approach basing speed zones on physiological thresholds would perhaps be a better reflection on within player and between player differences (Lovell and Abt 2013). A further limitation is that no tactical information or technical performance indicators were examined in association with the running performance data. This limited the conclusions that could be drawn from the inter team differences. Match location (Castellano et al. 2011) and weather (Watanabe et al. 2016) are both factors that have been found to influence running performance however neither were examined in this study. Data in this study were collected from both the national football league and the All-Ireland football championship. It has been suggested that match demands are higher in the All-Ireland football championship (Mangan and Collins 2016) however this difference was not examined in the current study. The findings of
the current research suggest that closer games require a greater physical exertion. Future research should examine the relationship between score difference and running performance, irrespective of result. In addition to the three factors examined in this study, many other factors including score line, playing style and team quality may also influence work rate within matches. Further investigations are needed in Gaelic football relating to contextual factors and running performance.

Conclusion

The results of this study confirm that running performance impacts match outcome. Total distance and high-speed distance have a medium effect on match outcome. Coaches should take this into account in their match analyses and when examining match-match variation. When the final score is close, the running demands of the game are increased. The current finding gives coaches another consideration when deciding whether to make substitutions, with score line possibly influencing the rate of fatigue. Teams must train in anticipation for a worst-case scenario, whereby the match demands are increased due to the match status. These findings may promote reductions in weekly training loads in the buildup to a match, where a close game is expected, or in the week following a close match. Playing position was found to have a large effect on running performance. Coaches should ensure training games are competitive in terms of score line in order to replicate the running performance observed in close games and also individualised based on playing position. Team differences are evident for running distance in matches, suggesting that tactics and style of play have a major influence on performance. With this in mind, different opposition may also have an influence on running demands. In the final quarter of games players run less when they lose by big margins than when they draw or win. This finding may influence game strategies in the final quarter of matches. Match analyses should not take running performance in isolation, however, since tactics and technical performance also play an important role in influencing match outcome. By understanding the factors that influence running performance in matches, teams can adopt their match preparation strategies accordingly.
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Practical Implications

This is the first study to show that there is a relationship between running performance and match outcome in Gaelic football. Coaches should increase recovery time for players following close games. The results also show that team differences exist with respect to running performance. Coaches should be aware that their teams style of play and tactics may influence their teams running performance. One must be aware that many more contextual factors may influence running performance in addition to the four examined in this research (match quarter, playing position, match outcome and team).


